- (11) My interest has been in the demotic situation in Southern Africa. In order to see this clearly it was necessary to examine the claims of the various royal hierarchies and their histories of origin in other than Southern African lands south of the Zambezi and south of 15°S in Angola. Having done this it was possible to examine (1) the residue of primitives which according to Bantu traditions were found in the various lands of their immigration, and (2) the relationship of this residue to the definitely non-Bantu negritic papulations.
- (12) There are three groups of terms that require examination and that occur with smazing geographical distributions in Southern Africa. It is these that I have been asked to discuss were in the history seminar on the peopling of Southern Africa. These are as follows:

(a) Mambo, (abas) Embo, Vambo, (Ova) Wambo, Meumbo
 (b) Vutwa, βutwa, βuhwa, "Butwa", Usxwa, Uswa
 Guru-usxwa, Guru-uswa, Guru-butwa, Gunu-vutwa

(c) Mbedzi, Pedi, Biri, Birina, Briqua, Bry, Bly, etc.
These contain the history of the earliest invading Bantu-speakers from across the Zambezi. They leave us with the earliest Tonga populations both in the coastal Mozambique areas and in the kinximhexixxxiiexx Kafue xxii Basin as well as with the various slave populations of the earliest Bantu viz. the VhaNyai, VhaNgona, VhaLala, the Khioga, the Kxaxa, the VhaNari, and many others. The history of the Sotho speakers is obscure because kinx it is confused (a) by the Kxalaxari histories xham the Kxalaxari peoples having been displaced by them from the east, (b) by the Mbedzi histories (amongst which I include those of the BaPeli and BaTlokwa), and (c) by the Dau histories which are of Hottentot origin, (d) by the BaFukeng and BaSia histories which precede the Mbedzi histories but are at the same time not indigeneous to the Zeerust area, and, lastly, hyxkin (e) by the confusion caused by Ellenberger and MacGregor in the gelationship of the Rolong and the Hurytshi